



© World Health Organization and United Nations Children's Fund, 2004

All rights reserved.

The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of UNICEF or the World Health Organization concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. Dotted lines on maps represent approximate border lines for which there may not yet be full agreement. The final status of Jammu and Kashmir has not yet been agreed upon; the dotted line represents the approximate line of control agreed upon by India and Pakistan.

UNICEF and the World Health Organization do not warrant that the information contained in this publication is complete and correct and shall not be liable for any damages incurred as a result of its use.

UNICEF and the World Health Organization welcome requests for permission to reproduce or translate their publications – whether for sale or for non-commercial distribution. Applications and enquiries should be addressed to UNICEF, Division of Communication, 3 United Nations Plaza, New York 10017, USA (Fax: +1 212 303 7985; E-mail: nyhqdoc.permit@unicef.org) or to WHO, Marketing and Dissemination, 20 Avenue Appia, 1211 Geneva 27, Switzerland (Tel: +41 22 791 2476; Fax: +41 22 791 4857; E-mail: permissions@who.int).


WHO Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

WHO/UNICEF Joint Monitoring Programme for Water Supply and Sanitation; Meeting the MDG drinking water and sanitation target: a mid-term assessment of progress, 2004

- 1. Water Supply 2. Potable Water supply and distribution 3. Sanitation
- 4. Social Justice 5. Development 6. Sustainability 7. Program development

I. Title

(ISBN 92 4 156278 1) (NLM classification: WA 675)



2 Foreword 4 Definitions of Indicators 5 The Purpose of this Report 6 Why Meeting the Target Matters Prinking Water Coverage Progress Towards the Drinking Water Target 2 Sanitation Coverage 4 Progress Towards the Sanitation Target 8 Disparities in Coverage 22 The Joint Monitoring Programme 24 Country, Regional and Global Estimates on Water and Sanitation 32 Millennium Development Goals: Regional Groupings

Foreword

he combination of safe drinking water and hygienic sanitation facilities is a precondition for health and for success in the fight against poverty, hunger, child deaths and gender inequality. It is also central to the human rights and personal dignity of every woman, man and child on earth. Yet 2.6 billion people – half the developing world – lack even a simple 'improved' latrine. One person in six – more than 1 billion of our fellow human beings – has little choice but to use potentially harmful sources of water. The consequences of our collective failure to tackle this problem are dimmed prospects for the billions of people locked in a cycle of poverty and disease.

In adopting the Millennium Development Goals, the countries of the world pledged to reduce by half the proportion of people without access to safe drinking water and basic sanitation. The results so far are mixed. With the exception of sub-Saharan Africa, the world is well on its way to meeting the drinking water target by 2015, but progress in sanitation is stalled in many developing regions.

This report, produced by the WHO/UNICEF Joint Monitoring Programme on Water Supply and Sanitation (JMP), provides the latest estimates and trends on where we stand today. The JMP's estimates are critical for calculating rates of progress towards national goals and for highlighting priorities, especially those that target the underserved.

For those countries in which progress has been slow, the report's finding should provide an incentive to accelerate action in the crucial years ahead. For countries 'on track', they should remind us that our work is not finished until every citizen is served.

LEE Jong-wook Director-General

World Health Organization

Carol Bellamy Executive Director

UNICEF

- C-13-







Definitions of Indicators

ccess to safe drinking water is estimated by the percentage of the population using improved drinking water sources, as described below. Similarly, access to sanitary means of excreta disposal is estimated by the percentage of the population using improved sanitation facilities. Improved sanitation facilities are those more likely to ensure privacy and hygienic use. Improved drinking water technologies are those more likely to provide safe drinking water than those characterized as unimproved. See page 23 for a discussion of other issues concerning definitions.

Improved drinking water sources

Household connection
Public standpipe
Borehole
Protected dug well
Protected spring
Rainwater collection

Unimproved drinking water sources

Unprotected well
Unprotected spring
Rivers or ponds
Vendor-provided water
Bottled water*
Tanker truck water


Improved sanitation facilities

Connection to a public sewer Connection to a septic system Pour-flush latrine Simple pit latrine** Ventilated improved pit latrine

Unimproved sanitation facilities


Public or shared latrine Open pit latrine Bucket latrine

^{**}Only a portion of poorly defined categories of latrines are included in sanitation coverage estimates.



^{*}Bottled water is not considered improved due to limitations in the potential quantity, not quality, of the water.





The Purpose of this Report

n September 2000, 189 UN Member States adopted the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs), setting clear, time-bound targets for making real progress on the most pressing development issues we face. Achieving these targets will directly affect the lives and future prospects of billions of people around the globe. It will also set the world on a positive course at the start of the 21st century.

Goal 7 is to ensure environmental sustainability. One of its targets is the subject of this report:

Halve, by 2015, the proportion of people without sustainable access to safe drinking water and basic sanitation.

Although the MDGs were formulated in 2000, the baseline for most of the MDG targets, including that on water and sanitation, has been set as 1990. Therefore 2002, the last year for which comprehensive data are available, can be considered the halfway mark towards achieving the 2015 MDG deadline.

This report, prepared by the WHO/UNICEF Joint Monitoring Programme (JMP), provides coverage data for 1990 and 2002 at national, regional and global levels and an analysis of trends towards 2015. It also marks a new cycle of more frequent reporting, which can be effectively used for sector capacity-building efforts at the national and subnational levels.

The report is intended as a 'reality check' for individual countries and the international community on how far we have come, and where we need to focus next, in order to fulfil our commitment.



Why Meeting the Target Matters

eyond the focus of public attention, an unseen emergency continues to unfold. It doesn't fell dozens all at once, like a bomb, or carry away whole towns in the blink of an eye, like a flood. Rather, it kills its victims – mostly infants and small children – largely unnoticed, spiriting them away one by one from rural villages and urban slums in every corner of the developing world.

Every day, this unremitting but seemingly invisible disaster claims the lives of more than 3,900 children under five, according to WHO. And for every child that dies, countless others, including older children and adults, suffer from poor health, diminished productivity and missed opportunities for education.


What is behind this wholesale loss of life and potential? It is the absence of something that nearly every reader of this report takes for granted, something basic, unremarkable, commonplace: toilets and other forms of improved sanitation and safe drinking water.

The good news is that, with 83 per cent coverage, the world is on track to meet the MDG target for drinking water. The news is tempered, however, by slow progress in sub-Saharan Africa and stalled action on sanitation in most developing regions. An estimated 2.6 billion people are without improved sanitation facilities. And if the 1990-2002 trend holds, the world will miss the sanitation target by half a billion people.

The figures and trends in this report, based on national surveys and censuses, indicate how far we are from achieving the sanitation target. But they also reveal that a number of low-income countries have made tremendous gains in expanding services, even in the face of rapid population growth and economic stagnation. The lesson that can be drawn from these countries is that rapid progress is indeed possible, and that the goals, while ambitious, are within our grasp.

Meeting the sanitation target will require that an additional 1 billion urban dwellers and almost 900 million people in often remote rural communities are able to use improved sanitation services. Accomplishing this by 2015 will be no small feat. But it will also be a testament to what the world can achieve with a clear vision and with the focused will and determination of every country on earth.

Getting on track to meet the target in both drinking water and sanitation will mean better health, longer lives and greater dignity for billions of the world's poorest people. It will also make a significant contribution to the achievement of other Millennium Development Goals.





Advancing the Millennium Development Goals

MDG goals	Contribution of improved drinking water and sanitation
Goal 1: Eradicate Extreme Poverty and Hunger	 The security of household livelihoods rests on the health of its members; adults who are ill themselves or must care for sick children are less productive. Illnesses caused by unsafe drinking water and inadequate sanitation generate high health costs relative to income for the poor. Healthy people are better able to absorb nutrients in food than those suffering from water-related diseases, particularly helminths, which rob their hosts of calories. The time lost because of long-distance water collection and poor health contributes to poverty and reduced food security.
Goal 2: Achieve Universal Primary Education	 Improved health and reduced water-carrying burdens improve school attendance, especially among girls. Having separate sanitation facilities for girls and boys in school increases girls' attendance, especially after they enter adolescence.
Goal 3: Promote Gender Equality and Empower Women	 Reduced time, health and care-giving burdens from improved water services give women more time for productive endeavours, adult education and leisure. Water sources and sanitation facilities closer to home put women and girls at less risk of assault while collecting water or searching for privacy.
Goal 4: Reduce Child Mortality	Improved sanitation and drinking water sources reduce infant and child morbidity and mortality.
Goal 5: Improve Maternal Health	 Accessible sources of water reduce labour burdens and health problems resulting from water portage, reducing maternal mortality risks. Safe drinking water and basic sanitation are needed in health-care facilities to ensure basic hygiene practices following delivery.
Goal 6: Combat HIV/AIDS, Malaria and Other Diseases	 Safe drinking water and basic sanitation help prevent water-related diseases, including diarrhoeal diseases, schistosomiasis, filariasis, trachoma and helminths. The reliability of drinking water supplies and improved water management in human settlement areas reduce transmission risks of malaria and dengue fever.
Goal 7: Ensure Environmental Sustainability	 Adequate treatment and disposal of wastewater contributes to better ecosystem conservation and less pressure on scarce freshwater resources. Careful use of water resources prevents contamination of groundwater and helps minimize the cost of water treatment.
Goal 8: Develop a Global Partnership for Development	 Development agendas and partnerships should recognize the fundamental role that safe drinking water and basic sanitation play in economic and social development.

DRINKING WATER COVERAGE

n 2002, 83 per cent of the world's population – around 5.2 billion people – used improved drinking water sources. These include piped water connections and standpipes, as described on page 4 (coverage estimates for individual countries can be found in the table starting on page 24).


The good news – gains in all regions since 1990 – is counterbalanced by the fact that 1.1 billion people were still using water from unimproved sources in 2002. In sub-Saharan Africa, 42 per cent of the population is still unserved.

Of the 1.1 billion people using water from unimproved sources, nearly two thirds live in Asia. The number of people without improved water sources in China alone is equal to the number of unserved in all of Africa.

The lowest drinking water coverage levels are found in sub-Saharan Africa and in Oceania.* In contrast, several regions, including Northern Africa, Latin America and the Caribbean, and Western Asia, have achieved coverage levels of close to 90 per cent or more.


*Country distribution by region can be found on the map on page 32.














PROGRESS TOWARDS THE WATER TARGET

The world is on track to meet the drinking water target, but sub-Saharan Africa lags behind.


n 1990, 77 per cent of the world's population used improved drinking water sources. Considerable progress was made between 1990 and 2002, with about 1.1 billion people gaining access to improved water sources. Global coverage in 2002 reached 83 per cent, putting the world on track to achieve the MDG target.

The region that made the greatest progress was South Asia, which increased coverage from 71 to 84 per cent between 1990 and 2002. This jump was fuelled primarily by increased use of improved water sources in India, home to over 1 billion people.

Progress in sub-Saharan Africa was also impressive: coverage increased from 49 to 58 per cent between 1990 and 2002, a nine percentage point increase. But this falls far short of the progress needed to achieve the MDG target of 75 per cent coverage by 2015.

Obstacles to accelerating the rate of progress in sub-Saharan Africa include conflict and political instability, high rates of population growth, and low priority given to water and sanitation. What's more, breakdown rates of water supply systems in rural Africa can be very high. Among the approaches shown to be effective in speeding up progress, despite these obstacles, are decentralizing responsibility and ownership and providing a choice of service levels to communities, based on their ability and willingness to pay.

One recent success in Africa has been steady progress in the eradication of Guinea worm disease. Through improved drinking water and other interventions, the number of people suffering from this disease has been reduced by 99 per cent: from an estimated 3.5 million cases in 1986 to less than 35,000 reported cases in 2003.






THE CHALLENGE OF OUTPACING POPULATION GROWTH

Population growth is a significant factor in the ability of countries, particularly low-income countries, to increase the coverage of drinking water. For example, just to maintain its 1990 coverage level of 74 per cent, Peru would have had to ensure drinking water services to more than 350,000 people a year, on average, over the period 1990 to 2002. In fact, it provided

water to more than 480,000 people a year, raising coverage from 74 per cent to 81 per cent.

On a global level, the number of people using improved water sources has increased by more than 90 million people a year since 1990. But because of population growth, the absolute number of people without coverage has only decreased by about 10 million people a year.



African countries making rapid progress in drinking water coverage, 1990–2002

FIGURE 5 Countries that increased coverage by at least 25% between 1990 and 2002*

		ng water age (%)	% increase
Country	1990	2002	1990-2002
Tanzania, United Republic of	38	73	92
Chad	20	34	70
Malawi	41	67	63
Angola	32	50	56
Central African Republic	48	75	56
Ghana	54	79	46
Eritrea	40	57	43
Mali	34	48	41
Kenya	45	62	38
Namibia	58	80	38
Mauritania	41	56	37
Burkina Faso	39	51	31
Uganda	44	56	27
Cameroon	50	63	26
Rwanda	58	73	26

^{*} Table includes countries that increased coverage by at least 25% between 1990 and 2002. Countries with coverage higher than 80% in 1990 were not included, even though they may have increased coverage levels significantly. Nor does it include countries that may have made significant progress but for which data were insufficient to estimate a trend.


SANITATION COVERAGE


lobal sanitation coverage rose from 49 per cent in 1990 to 58 per cent in 2002. Still, some 2.6 billion people – half of the developing world – live without improved sanitation. Sanitation coverage in developing countries (49 per cent) is only half that of the developed world (98 per cent).

Though major progress was made in South Asia from 1990 to 2002, little more than a third of its population are currently using improved sanitation. In sub-Saharan Africa as well, coverage is a mere 36 per cent.

Over half of those without improved sanitation – nearly 1.5 billion people – live in China and India.












FIGURE 9 Countries where	coverage with improved sanitation w	as one third or less in 2002	
Country	Sanitation coverage 2002 (%)	Country Sanita	ation coverage 2002 (%)
Ethiopia	6	Central African Republic	27
Afghanistan	8	Mozambique	27
Chad	8	Nepal	27
Congo	9	Micronesia (Federated States of)	28
Eritrea	9	Congo, Democratic Republic of the	29
Burkina Faso	12	Angola	30
Niger	12	India	30
Guinea	13	Namibia	30
Cambodia	16	Yemen	30
Comoros	23	Solomon Islands	31
ao People's Democratic R	epublic 24	Benin	32
Sao Tome and Principe	24	Madagascar	33
Somalia	25	Timor-Leste	33
iberia	26		

PROGRESS TOWARDS THE TATION TARGET

Without a sharp acceleration in the rate of progress, the world will miss the sanitation target by half a billion people.


o halve the proportion of people without improved sanitation, global coverage needs to grow to 75 per cent by 2015, from a starting point of 49 per cent in 1990. However, if the 1990-2002 trend continues, the world will miss the sanitation target by more than half a billion people. In other words, close to 2.4 billion people will be without improved sanitation in 2015, almost as many as there are today.


The situation is most serious in South Asia, sub-Saharan Africa, Western Asia, Eurasia and Oceania, none of which are on track for meeting the sanitation target.

Despite disappointing progress overall, a number of regions have made tremendous gains. Eastern Asia's coverage, for example, has almost doubled since 1990. Similarly, South Asia managed to move from 20 per cent to 37 per cent coverage, although it started with the lowest baseline of any region.

The widening gap between progress registered and the target (see Figure 11) signals that the world will meet its sanitation goal only with a dramatic acceleration in the provision of services. The proportion of the world's population with improved sanitation has increased by just 9 percentage points since 1990, a far slower rate than that required to meet the MDG target.


As shown in Figure 12, Eastern and South-eastern Asia are clearly on track to meet the MDG target in sanitation by 2015. Northern Africa and Latin America and the Caribbean are well on their way. However, the remaining regions will not meet the target without a rapid acceleration in progress.







Accelerate progress or miss the sanitation target by half a billion people





SANITATION SITUATION WORSE THAN PREVIOUSLY THOUGHT

An analysis of recent household surveys – nearly twice the number available since the last update in 2001 – has prompted the Joint Monitoring Programme to revise its global sanitation figures from 2.4 billion people to 2.6 billion people unserved.

The revisions are based on this additional information, more detailed definitions of sanitation facilities and a more stringent method used to estimate coverage. In previous estimates, certain categories of latrines that were poorly defined were counted as 'improved'. Now, a breakdown of these categories is sought from which correction factors can be derived and

applied to surveys from the same country. Where this breakdown is not available, only half the share of the population using undefined latrines (such as traditional, pit or simple latrines) are counted as having access to an improved sanitation facility.

Because traditional latrines are widespread in sub-Saharan Africa, this new method of measuring them has lowered considerably the coverage figures for the region. However, as more surveys are conducted, using more complete definitions and better breakdowns of facilities, sanitation estimates will become even more precise.

PROGRESS TOWARDS THE TATION TARGET

CLOSING MAJOR COVERAGE GAPS AND REACHING THE HARD TO REACH

Meeting the MDG target requires that, between 1990 and 2015, the world reduces by half the proportion of the population not using improved drinking water sources and sanitation.

It would seem that countries whose poverty and poor capacity led them to have such low coverage to begin with are charged with the most difficult task. But is achieving a 5 per cent increase when you have high coverage easier than a 20 per cent increase when you have low coverage overall? Not necessarily. Reaching the remaining population without coverage is usually increasingly difficult the higher your overall coverage becomes.

Higher per capita investment costs to reach the remaining few follow the law of diminishing returns. Servicing urban slums, remote rural villages and arid areas may require a much greater effort than reaching a population in more accessible or less arid regions. In large urban areas, for example, it is becoming increasingly difficult to provide drinking water services because of rapid urbanization and the fact that new water sources may be further away. In addition, water treatment plants are more complex due to polluted water sources, because transmission mains have to cross long distances, and because there is often the need for costly pumping stations with sophisticated operations and maintenance.




Five regions are not on track to meet the sanitation target FIGURE 12 Regional progress towards the MDG sanitation target Coverage Coverage needed by needed in 2015 to Coverage Coverage 2002 to be achieve the in 1990 in 2002 on track MDG target (%) (%) Regions on track Eastern Asia 24 45 43 62 South-eastern Asia 48 61 61 74 Regions nearly on track Northern Africa 73 65 74 82 Latin America and Caribbean 69 75 77 84 Regions not on track South Asia 20 37 40 60 Sub-Saharan Africa 32 36 49 66 Western Asia 79 79 84 90 Eurasia 92 84 83 88 Oceania 58 55 68 79 World 49 58 62 75









Countries making rapid progress in sanitation

FIGURE 13 Countries that increased coverage by at least 25% between 1990 and 2002*

Sa	anitatio	on coverage (%)	% increase
Country 1	990	2002	1990-2002
Myanmar	21	73	248
Benin	11	32	191
Madagascar	12	33	175
India	12	30	150
Cameroon	21	48	129
Haiti	15	34	127
Nepal	12	27	125
Bangladesh	23	48	109
China	23	44	91
Viet Nam	22	41	86
Congo, Dem. Rep. of the		29	61
Kiribati	25	39	56
Mauritania	28	42	50
Senegal	35	52	49
Pakistan	38	54	42
Nicaragua	47	66	40
Honduras	49	68	39
Yemen	21	30	38
Bolivia	33	45	36
Ghana	43	58	35
Philippines	54	73	35
Paraguay	58	78	34
Sri Lanka	70	91	30
Côte d'Ivoire	31	40	29
Ecuador	56	72	29
Malawi	36	46	28
Egypt	54	68	26
Mali	36	45	25
Namibia	24	30	25

*Countries that increased coverage by at least 25% between 1990 and 2002 and that had at least 25% coverage in 2002. Table includes only countries for which data were sufficient to estimate trends.

REDUCING THE RURAL BACKLOG AND TACKLING URBAN GROWTH

Many of the 2.6 billion people without improved sanitation are among those hardest to reach: families living in remote rural areas and urban slums, families displaced by war and famine, and families mired in the poverty-disease trap, for whom improved sanitation and drinking water could offer a way out.

Though more than a billion people gained improved sanitation between 1990 and 2002, the population without coverage declined by only 100 million. The challenge will be seven

times greater in the crucial years leading up to the MDG deadline. The population without coverage will need to decrease from 2.6 billion people in 2002 to 1.9 billion in 2015, a total decline of 760 million people. Meeting this target, and reducing rural and urban disparities, will mean providing sanitation services to a billion new urban dwellers and almost 900 million people living in rural communities, where progress has been slower.

DISPARITES IN COVERAGE

From now until 2015, greater effort must be made to reach the poor and those in rural areas, whose deprivation is hidden behind national averages.

Disparities in drinking water service levels

lobal coverage figures from 2002 indicate that, of every 10 people, roughly 5 have a connection to a piped water supply at home (in their dwelling, plot or yard); 3 make use of some other sort of improved water supply, such as a protected well or public standpipe; and 2 are unserved, with no choice but to rely on potentially unsafe water from rivers, ponds, unprotected wells or water vendors (see Figure 14).


The way that people secure their drinking water has a direct impact on their health and on the economic status of households. In households using only a remote and unprotected source, health can be jeopardized by water

contamination. Moreover, the quantity of water collected is likely to be too small for effective hygiene, even if bathing and laundry are carried out at the source. Using improved water sources, such as a protected spring or well within a reasonable walking distance, provides substantial health benefits. But hygiene may still be compromised and water may be contaminated in transport and storage.

Once water is available at home – through a yard or house tap, for example – then hygienic behaviour and the maintenance of water quality becomes easier. Major improvements in household health usually accompany the use of piped water at home. Similarly, the time saved in not having to collect water may also contribute significantly to improvements in the household economy.



In 2002, more than half the world's population used water from a piped connection at home






Disparities in rural and urban areas

inety-two per cent of the urban population and 70 per cent of the rural population in developing countries use improved drinking water sources. That means that for every person without improved drinking water in urban centres, there are six people unserved in rural areas. The disparities are greatest in sub-Saharan Africa, with a difference of 37 percentage points between rural and urban dwellers.

The disparities in urban and rural sanitation are even worse. Only 31 per cent of rural inhabitants in developing regions have access to any type of improved sanitation, as opposed to 73 per cent of urban dwellers. In 2002, the total population in developing regions without improved sanitation was around 560 million in urban areas, compared with a staggering 2 billion in rural areas.

Currently, estimates of water and sanitation coverage in urban areas include those living in urban slums. As a consequence, the statistics tend to mask the deprivation found in these communities. Calculating separate estimates for slum and other urban dwellers poses formidable technical challenges. However, efforts are under way to improve the statistical methods used so that a more accurate picture of the water and sanitation situation in slum communities can be presented.





DISPARITES IN COVERAGE

Disparities by wealth


ot surprisingly, water and sanitation coverage, as well as levels of service, are higher among the rich than the poor. An analysis of 20 Demographic and Health Surveys from the past five years shows that only about 1 in 6 households in the poorest 20 per cent of the population uses improved sanitation facilities – compared to 3 out of 4 households in the richest 20 per cent. Fewer than 4 in 10 of the poorest households use an improved water source, whereas nearly 9 out of 10 of the richest households do.

INVESTMENTS IN DRINKING WATER AND SANITATION YIELD HIGH DIVIDENDS


Increased use of improved water and sanitation has many benefits: a significant reduction in disease, especially diarrhoea; averted health-related costs; and time savings associated with having water and sanitation facilities located closer to home. Time saved may translate into higher productivity and school attendance, more leisure time and other, less tangible benefits, such as convenience and well-being, all of which can have an economic impact.

If these benefits are translated into monetary terms, it is possible to compare the total benefits with the costs of a potential intervention. Such an evaluation can often tip the balance in favour of water and sanitation investments. A recent cost-benefit analysis undertaken by WHO found that achieving the MDG target in water and sanitation would bring substantial economic gains: every \$1 invested would yield an economic return of between \$3 and \$34, depending on the region. Globally, meeting the target would require an additional investment of around \$11.3 billion per year, over and above current investments. Among the benefits would be an average 10 per cent reduction worldwide in episodes of diarrhoeal diseases.

Richest are twice as likely to use drinking water from an improved source than the poorest



Richest are four times more likely to use improved sanitation than the poorest






ADVANCING GENDER EQUALITY THROUGH TOILETS AND TAPS

Ask anyone what it will take to make women's equality a reality and 'toilets' will probably not be the response. Yet it is difficult to exaggerate the impact that access to private, safe and sanitary toilets would have on the daily lives and long-term prospects of the 1.3 billion women and girls that are currently doing without. The burdens of water-hauling are widely understood: this tedious, time-consuming and physically debilitating chore reduces the time available for productive activities and, for girls, to attend school. Less discussed are the blows to health, productivity and dignity that result from poor sanitation.

In some cultural settings where basic sanitation is lacking, women and girls have to rise before dawn, making their way in the darkness to fields, railroad tracks and roadsides to defecate in the open, knowing they may risk rape or other violence in the process. In such circumstances, women and girls often go the whole day without relieving themselves until night

affords them the privacy of darkness. Sometimes, they limit their daytime intake of food and water so that they can make it until evening. Without toilets in schools, girls must go in the open – that is, if they are even allowed to attend. For many girls, the onset of adolescence means the end of school.

All who lack adequate sanitation facilities are exposed to unpleasant and unhealthy daily routines. However, the impact on women and girls is greatest. In their household roles, they may more readily transmit disease-causing pathogens from exposed faeces to other family members. And restricted toilet opportunities cause discomfort and increase the likelihood of health problems such as urinary tract infections and chronic constipation as well as causing unnecessary mental stress. Sick, pregnant and postpartum women particularly suffer from lack of sanitation. How can the future be better if today's girls must drop out of school for want of something as basic as a toilet?



THE JOINT MONTORING PROGRAMME

ince 1990, WHO and UNICEF have teamed up to track progress on global water and sanitation goals through the Joint Monitoring Programme for Water Supply and Sanitation. The JMP monitors trends in coverage; helps build national monitoring capacity in developing countries; develops and harmonizes questionnaires, indicators and definitions to ensure comparability of data over time and among countries; and informs policy makers of the status of the water supply and sanitation sector worldwide through publications such as this one. The JMP draws guidance from a technical advisory group of leading experts in water supply, sanitation and hygiene, and from institutions involved in data collection and sector monitoring.

Further information about the JMP and its methodology can be found at: www.wssinfo.org.

The JMP database

The JMP database is the source for WHO and UNICEF's estimates on the use of drinking water and sanitation facilities. The database currently draws upon more than 350 nationally representative household surveys and censuses, double the amount of data that was available for the 2000 monitoring report. The surveys include the UNICEF-supported Multiple Indicator Cluster Surveys, the USAID-supported Demographic and Health Surveys, the World Bank's Living Standard Measurement Surveys and, most recently, WHO's World Health Surveys.

The JMP assembles, reviews and assesses household survey and census data. A rigorous review process, based on a set of objective criteria, ensures that only reliable data are included in the database.


The shift from provider-based to user-based data

Prior to 2000, coverage data were based on information from service providers, such as utilities, ministries and water agencies, rather than on household surveys. The quality of the information varied considerably. Provider-based data, for example, often did not include facilities built by householders themselves, such as private wells or pit latrines, or even systems installed by local communities. Governments had their own definitions of improved water supply and sanitation, which would change over time. Therefore comparisons could not be made among countries or for the same country over time. The shift in 2000 to the use of household surveys, and the clarification of defi-

nitions, provide a more accurate picture by monitoring the type of services and facilities that people actually use.

Household surveys are usually conducted by national institutes of statistics, carried out by trained national staff who collect information on a wide range of health and living conditions through face-to-face interviews.

Survey and census data are plotted on a time scale from 1980 to the present. Four graphs for each country show both urban and rural coverage for water and for sanitation. A linear trend line, based on the least-squares method, is drawn through these data points to estimate coverage for 1990 and 2002.



THE JOINT MONTORING PROGRAMME

Challenges and responses

The MDG target refers to "access to safe drinking water and basic sanitation." Though it sounds straightforward, monitoring such a target can be complex. How is drinking water defined, for example, and how is an interviewer to determine whether a household's water is safe? In order to standardize data collection, the JMP defines drinking water as the water used for normal domestic purposes, including consumption and hygiene.

Extensive research in rural areas found that people satisfy their basic needs for water if the source can be reached in a round trip of 30 minutes or less. When it takes more than 30 minutes to get to the water source and back, people typically haul less water than they need to meet their basic requirements. These requirements are determined locally, depending upon water availability, local customs, and the amount of water required to prepare food staples.

Measuring 'basic sanitation' is equally complicated. Ideally, the definition of this term would encompass critical components of what sanitation services should aim for: privacy, dignity, cleanliness and a healthy environment. From a monitoring point of view, however, such characteristics are difficult to measure.

To resolve these issues, the JMP classifies sanitation facilities and water supply sources as either 'improved' or 'unimproved', as defined on page 4 of this report. In doing so, it makes the assumption that those classified as 'improved' are likely to be more sanitary than 'unimproved' ones.

Not all people that have access to improved facilities or sources actually use them. Consequently, the JMP has adopted 'use' as the primary indicator for monitoring progress in both water and sanitation.

Current coverage estimates from the JMP are expressed as the percentage of the population using improved drinking water sources and improved sanitation facilities.

Other issues

The use of household surveys has significantly increased the quality and comparability of information on improved drinking water sources and sanitation. Making this data even more useful to policy makers means tackling additional challenges:

• Harmonizing indicators and survey questions. Surveys use different indicators and methodologies, making it difficult to compare information. A guide harmonizing ques-

tions and response categories for drinking water supply and sanitation is being prepared and discussions are under way on incorporating them in major household survey programmes and population censuses.

- Measuring gender disparities. Data on water and sanitation are collected at the household level. Therefore gender-specific data cannot be calculated. However, who bears the main responsibility for water collection and how long it takes can be ascertained. Questions along these lines are being reflected in the design of new surveys.
- Safety and water quality. Existing surveys do not provide information on the quality of water, either at the source or in households. Improved sources may still contain harmful substances, and water can be contaminated during transport and storage. Although 'improved drinking water sources' provides a good indicator for progress, it is not a direct measure of it. Dangerous levels of chemicals, such as the arsenic and flouride that are increasingly found in groundwater in South and South-eastern Asia, are of growing concern, along with infectious or other toxic substances. The proportion of the population using safe drinking water is therefore likely to be lower than that using improved drinking water sources.

In response, WHO and UNICEF are conducting a pilot study to develop procedures for assessing drinking water quality at the household level. The study is being carried out in China, Ethiopia, Jordan, Nicaragua, Nigeria and Tajikistan with the support of the British Government.



COUNTRY, REGIONAL AND GLOBAL ESTIMATES ON WATER & SANITATION

						Improved	d Drin	king Water	Cove	rage	1	mproved	b
		Рор	ulatic	n		Total		Urban		Rural		tion Co	
Countries, areas and territories	Year	Total (thousands)	Urban %	Rural %	Total %	Household Connection %	Total %	Household Connection %	Total %	Household Connection %	Total %	Urban %	Rural %
Afghanistan	1990 2002	13,799 22,930	18 23	82 77	- 13	2	- 19	- 8	- 11	0	- 8	- 16	5 5
Albania	1990 2002	3,289 3,141	36 43	64 57	97 97	- 68	99 99	96 96	95 95	- 46	- 89	99 99	- 81
Algeria	1990 2002	25,017 31,266	51 58	49 42	95 87	62 76	99 92	83 87	92 80	39 60	88 92	99 99	76 82
American Samoa	1990 2002	47 60	81 90	19 10	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
Andorra	1990 2002	53 69	94 92	6 8	100 100		100 100	100 100	100 100	-	100 100	100 100	100 100
Angola	1990 2002	9,340 13,184	26 35	74 65	32 50	1 5	11 70	1 13	40 40	0 1	30 30	62 56	19 16
Anguilla	1990 2002	9 12	100 100	0 0	- 60	- 45	- 60	- 45	- 60	- 45	99 99	99 99	99 99
Antigua and Barbuda	1990 2002	63 73	35 37	65 63	- 91	- 83	95 95	- 90	- 89	- 79	- 95	98 98	- 94
Argentina	1990 2002	32,527 37,981	87 90	13 10	94	69 -	97 97	76 -	73 -	23	82	87 -	47 -
Armenia	1990 2002	3,545 3,072	67 65	33 35	- 92	- 85	99 99	97 97	- 80	- 64	- 84	96 96	- 61
Aruba	1990 2002	66 98	50 46	50 54	100 100	100 100	100 100	100 100	100 100	100 100	-	-	-
Australia	1990 2002	16,888 19,544	85 92	15 8	100 100	-	100 100	- -	100 100	-	100 100	100 100	100 100
Austria	1990 2002	7,729 8,111	66 66	34 34	100 100	100 100	100 100	100 100	100 100	100 100	100 100	100 100	100 100
Azerbaijan	1990 2002	7,192 8,297	54 50	46 50	66 77	41 47	80 95	63 76	49 59	16 19	- 55	- 73	- 36
Bahamas	1990 2002	255 310	84 89	16 11	- 97	70	98 98	- 69	- 86	80	100 100	100 100	100 100
Bahrain	1990 2002	490 709	88 90	12 10	-	-	100 100	100 100		-	-	100 100	-
Bangladesh*	1990 2002	109,402 143,809	20 24	80 76	71 75	6 6	83 82	28 26	68 72	0 0	23 48	71 75	11 39
Barbados	1990 2002	257 269	45 51	55 49	100 100	-	100 100	98 100	100 100	-	100 99	99 99	100 100
Belarus	1990 2002	10,266 9,940	66 71	34 29	100 100	- 61	100 100	- 78	100 100	- 22	-	-	-
Belgium	1990 2002	9,967 10,296	96 97	4 3	- -	100	100 100	100 100	-	90 -	- -	- -	-
Belize	1990 2002	186 251	48 48	52 52	- 91	80	100 100	92 99	- 82	63	- 47	- 71	- 25
Benin	1990 2002	4,650 6,558	34 44	66 56	60 68	6 12	71 79	17 26	54 60	1 1	11 32	31 58	1 12
Bermuda	1990 2002	74 81	100 100	0 0	- -	-	-	-	-	- -	-	-	-
Bhutan	1990 2002	1,696 2,190	5 8	95 92	- 62	- -	- 86	- 81	60	- -	- 70	- 65	- 70
Bolivia	1990 2002	6,669 8,645	56 63	44 37	72 85	53 75	91 95	76 92	48 68	23 47	33 45	49 58	13 23

^{*}The figures for Bangladesh have been adjusted for arsenic contamination levels on the basis of national surveys conducted and approved by the Government.

	Population					Improved Total	rage Rural	Improved Sanitation Coverage					
Countries, areas and territories	Year	Total (thousands)	Urban %		Total %	Household Connection %	Total %	Urban Household Connection %	Total %	Household Connection %	Total %	Urban %	Rural %
Bosnia and Herzegovina	1990 2002	4,308 4,126	39 44	61 56	98 98	- 82	100 100	98 98	96 96	- 69	- 93	99 99	- 88
Botswana	1990 2002	1,354 1,770	42 51	58 49	93 95	25 46	100 100	40 62	88 90	13 28	38 41	61 57	21 25
Brazil	1990 2002	148,809 176,257	75 82	25 18	83 89	74 78	93 96	90 91	55 58	28 17	70 75	82 83	37 35
British Virgin Islands	1990 2002	17 21	50 63	50 37	98 98	97 97	98 98	97 97	98 98	97 97	100 100	100 100	100 100
Brunei Darussalam	1990 2002	257 350	66 75	34 25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bulgaria	1990 2002	8,718 7,965	66 69	34 31	100 100	98	100 100	100 100	100 100	94	100 100	100 100	100 100
Burkina Faso	1990 2002	8,921 12,624	14 17	86 83	39 51	4 4	63 82	25 23	35 44	1 0	13 12	47 45	8
Burundi	1990 2002	5,609 6,602	6	94 90	69 79	3 4	96 90	31 41	67 78	1	44	42 47	44 35
Cambodia	1990 2002	9,744 13,810	13 18	87 82	- 34	- 6	- 58	- 31	- 29	1 1	- 16	- 53	- 8
Cameroon	1990 2002	11,661 15,729	40 51	60 49	50 63	11 15	77 84	25 28	32 41	2 2	21 48	43 63	7 33
Canada	1990 2002	27,701 31,271	77 80	23 20	100 100	- 88	100 100	100 100	99 99	- 38	100 100	100 100	99 99
Cape Verde	1990 2002	349 454	44 55	56 45	- 80	- 24	- 86	- 41	73	4 4	- 42	- 61	- 19
Cayman Islands	1990 2002	26 39	100 100	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Central African Republic	1990 2002	2,943 3,819	37 42	63 58	48 75	1 4	70 93	2	35 61	0	23 27	32 47	18 12
Chad	1990 2002	5,822 8,348	21 25	79 75	20 34	1 5	45 40	6 19	13 32	0	6 8	27 30	1 0
Channel Islands	1990 2002	142 145	31 30	69 70	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chile	1990 2002	13,100 15,613	83 87	17 13	90 95	86 92	98 100	98 99	49 59	25 40	85 92	91 96	52 64
China	1990 2002	1,155,305 1,294,867	27 38	73 62	70 77	49 59	100 92	80 91	59 68	37 40	23 44	64 69	7 29
China, Hong Kong (SAR)	1990 2002	5,704 6,981	100 100	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
China, Macao (SAR)	1990 2002	372 460	99 99	1	-	-	-	-		- -	-	-	-
Colombia	1990 2002	34,970 43,526	69 76	31 24	92 92	78 85	98 99	94 96	78 71	41 51	82 86	95 96	52 54
Comoros	1990 2002	527 747	28 34	72 66	89 94	18 25	99 90	32 47	85 96	12 14	23 23	41 38	16 15
Congo	1990 2002	2,494 3,633	48 53	52 47	46	33	- 72	- 58	- 17	5 5	- 9	- 14	2 2
Congo, Democratic Republic of the	1990 2002	37,370 51,201	28 31	72 69	43 46	25 10	92 83	89 32	24 29	0	18 29	56 43	3 23
Cook Islands	1990 2002	18 18	58 69	42 31	94 95	-	99 98	-	87 88	-	95 100	100 100	88 100
Costa Rica	1990 2002	3,076 4,094	54 60	46 40	- 97	- 92	100 100	99 99	- 92	- 81	- 92	- 89	97 97
Côte d'Ivoire	1990 2002	12,505 16,365	40 44	60 56	69 84	24 33	74 98	52 65	66 74	5 9	31 40	52 61	16 23
Croatia	1990 2002	4,842 4,439	54 59	46 41	- -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cuba	1990 2002	10,628 11,271	74 75	26 25	- 91	65 74	95 95	77 82	- 78	31 49	98 98	99 99	95 95
Cyprus	1990 2002	681 796	65 69	35 31	100 100	100 100	100 100	100 100	100 100	100 100	100 100	100 100	100 100

	Population					Improved Total		king Water Urban	overage Impro Rural Sanitation (
Countries, areas and territories	Year	Total (thousands)	Urban		Total %	Household Connection %	Total %	Household Connection %	Total %	Household Connection %	Total %	Urban %	Rural %
Czech Republic	1990 2002	10,306 10,246	75 74	25 26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Denmark	1990 2002	5,140 5,351	85 85	15 15	100 100	100 100	100 100	100 100	100 100	100 100	-	-	-
Djibouti	1990 2002	528 693	75 83	25 17	78 80	32 35	82 82	40 40	67 67	11 11	48 50	55 55	27 27
Dominica	1990 2002	72 78	68 72	32 28	- 97	- 87	100 100	98 98	90	- 58	- 83	- 86	- 75
Dominican Republic	1990 2002	7,058 8,616	55 59	45 41	86 93	54 35	97 98	70 37	72 85	35 31	48 57	60 67	33 43
Ecuador	1990 2002	10,264 12,810	55 61	45 39	69 86	55 59	81 92	74 77	54 77	32 32	56 72	73 80	36 59
Egypt	1990 2002	55,768 70,507	43 42	57 58	94 98	61 80	97 100	89 98	92 97	40 67	54 68	70 84	42 56
El Salvador	1990 2002	5,110 6,415	49 59	51 41	67 82	45 60	88 91	74 78	47 68	16 34	51 63	70 78	33 40
Equatorial Guinea	1990 2002	354 481	35 47	65 53	- 44	4 8	- 45	12 17	- 42	0 0	- 53	- 60	- 46
Eritrea	1990 2002	3,103 3,991	16 20	84 80	40 57	6	60 72	40 42	36 54	0	8 9	46 34	0 3
Estonia	1990 2002	1,584 1,338	71 69	29 31	- -	- 87	-	96 96		- 67	-	- 93	-
Ethiopia	1990 2002	48,856 68,961	13 15	87 85	25 22	1 4	80 81	4 23	16 11	0 0	4	14 19	2
Faroe Islands	1990 2002	48 47	33 38	67 62	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Falkland Islands (Malvinas)	1990 2002	2 3	68 81	32 19	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
Fiji	1990 2002	724 831	42 51	58 49	-	-	-	-	-	-	98 98	99 99	98 98
Finland	1990 2002	4,986 5,197	61	39 39	100 100	92 97	100	96 100	100	85 93	100 100	100 100	100 100
France	1990 2002	56,735 59,850	74 76	26 24	-	99 99	100 100 100	100 100	-	95 95	-	-	-
French Guiana	1990 2002	116 174	75 75	25 25	- 84	- 79	- 88	- 83	- 71	- 65	- 78	- 85	- 57
French Polynesia	1990 2002	195 241	56 52	44 48	100 100	98 98	100	99 99	100	96 96	98 98	99 99	97 97
Gabon	1990 2002	953 1,306	68 83	32 17	- 87	- 45	95 95	- 52	- 47	- 8	- 36	- 37	- 30
Gambia	1990	936	25 26	75 74	-	-	95 95	- 39	- 77	3 3	- 53	- 72	-
Georgia	1990	1,388 5,460	55	45	82	12 -	-	-	-	-	-	96	46
Germany	2002 1990	5,177 79,433	52 85	48 15	76 100	58 100	90 100	100	100	30 97	83	96 -	69 -
Ghana	2002 1990 2002	82,414 15,277 20,471	36 45	12 64	100 54 79	100 14	100 85 93	100 35 50	100 36 68	97 2 3	43	- 54 74	37 46
Greece	2002 1990	20,471 10,160	45 59	55 41	-	24 84	-	50 91	-	3 73	58 -	-	-
Grenada	2002 1990	10,970 85	61 32	39 68	- - 0E	- 02	97	- 02	- 02	- - 75	97	96	97
Guadeloupe	2002 1990	80 391	40 98	2	95	- 00	97 98	93 98	93	75 -	97	96	97
Guam	2002 1990	436 134	91	9	98 100	98	98 100	98 -	93 100	75 -	64 99	99	98 98
Guatemala	2002 1990	8,749	94 41	6 59	100 77	48	100 88	67	100 69	34	99 50	99 71	98 35
Guinea	2002 1990 2002	12,036 6,122 8,359	46 25 34	54 75 66	95 42 51	55 10 8	99 70 78	58 37 23	92 32 38	53 2 1	61 17 13	72 27 25	52 13 6

								king Water				mproved	
		Рорі	ulatio	n_		Total		Urban		Rural	Sanita	tion Cov	/erage
Countries, areas and territories	Year	Total (thousands)	Urban %	Rural %	Total %	Household Connection %	Total %	Household Connection %	Total %	Household Connection %	Total %	Urban %	Rural %
Guinea-Bissau	1990 2002	1,016 1,449	24 33	76 67	- 59	- 5	- 79	- 15	- 49	0	34	- 57	- 23
Guyana	1990 2002	731 764	33 37	67 63	- 83	- 53	- 83	- 66	83	- 45	- 70	- 86	- 60
Haiti	1990 2002	6,914 8,218	29 37	71 63	53 71	10 11	77 91	27 24	43 59	2	15 34	27 52	11 23
Honduras	1990	4,868	40	60	83	59	89	82	78	43	49	77	31
	2002	6,781	45	55	90	72	99	92	82	55	68	89	52
Hungary	1990	10,365	62	38	99	85	100	92	98	74	-	100	-
	2002	9,923	65	35	99	84	100	93	98	67	95	100	85
Iceland	1990 2002	255 287	91 93	9 7	100 100	100 100	100 100	100 100	100 100	100 100	-	-	-
India	1990	846,418	26	74	68	17	88	51	61	5	12	43	1
	2002	1,049,549	28	72	86	24	96	51	82	13	30	58	18
Indonesia	1990	182,117	31	69	71	10	92	26	62	3	46	66	38
	2002	217,131	44	56	78	17	89	31	69	5	52	71	38
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	1990	56,703	56	44	91	84	98	96	83	69	83	86	78
	2002	68,070	66	34	93	87	98	96	83	69	84	86	78
Iraq	1990	17,341	70	30	83	76	97	94	50	33	81	95	48
	2002	24,510	67	33	81	74	97	94	50	33	80	95	48
Ireland	1990 2002	3,515 3,911	57 60	43 40	-	91 -	100 100	99 99	-	81	-	-	-
Isle of Man	1990 2002	69 74	52 52	48 48	-	-	-	- -	-	-	-	-	-
Israel	1990 2002	4,514 6,304	90 92	10 8	100 100	100 100	100 100	100 100	100 100	98 98	-	100 100	-
Italy	1990 2002	56,719 57,482	67 67	33 33	-	99 99	100 100	100 100	-	96 96	-	-	-
Jamaica	1990	2,369	51	49	92	60	97	87	86	32	75	85	64
	2002	2,627	52	48	93	70	98	93	87	45	80	90	68
Japan	1990	123,537	63	37	100	95	100	98	100	91	100	100	100
	2002	127,478	65	35	100	96	100	98	100	91	100	100	100
Jordan	1990	3,254	72	28	98	95	100	99	91	87	-	97	-
	2002	5,329	79	21	91	87	91	89	91	81	93	94	85
Kazakhstan	1990	16,809	57	43	86	62	96	88	72	27	72	87	52
	2002	15,469	56	44	86	61	96	88	72	27	72	87	52
Kenya	1990	23,585	25	75	45	22	91	58	30	11	42	49	40
	2002	31,540	38	62	62	29	89	56	46	12	48	56	43
Kiribati	1990	72	35	65	48	24	76	46	33	13	25	33	21
	2002	87	46	54	64	34	77	49	53	22	39	59	22
Korea, Democratic	1990	19,956	58	42	100	-	100	-	100	-	-	-	-
People's Republic of	2002	22,541	61	39	100	77	100	81	100	71	59	58	60
Korea, Republic of	1990 2002	42,869 47,430	74 80	26 20	- 92	- 84	97 97	96 96	- 71	- 39	-	-	-
Kuwait	1990 2002	2,143 2,443	95 96	5 4		-	-	-	-		-	-	-
Kyrgyzstan	1990	4,395	38	62	-	-	98	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2002	5,067	34	66	76	48	98	87	66	28	60	75	51
Lao People's Democratic Republic	1990 2002	4,132 5,529	15 20	85 80	43	8	- 66	- 25	38	4 4	- 24	- 61	- 14
Latvia	1990 2002	2,713 2,329	70 66	30 34	-	-	-	- 93	-	-	- -	- -	-
Lebanon	1990	2,712	83	17	100	-	100	100	100	-	-	100	-
	2002	3,596	87	13	100	98	100	100	100	85	98	100	87
Lesotho	1990	1,570	17	83	-	7	-	31	-	2	37	61	32
	2002	1,800	18	82	76	7	88	31	74	2	37	61	32
Liberia	1990	2,135	42	58	56	11	85	21	34	3	38	59	24
	2002	3,239	46	54	62	1	72	1	52	0	26	49	7
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya	1990	4,306	80	20	71	54	72	54	68	55	97	97	96
	2002	5,445	86	14	72	54	72	54	68	55	97	97	96

						Improved	l Drin	king Water	Cove	rage	1	mproved	t
		Population				Total		Urban		Rural	Sanita	tion Co	verage
Countries, areas and territories	Year	Total (thousands)		Rural %	Total %	Household Connection %	Total %	Household Connection %	Total %	Household Connection %	Total %	Urban %	Rural %
Liechtenstein	1990 2002	29 33	21 22	79 78	- -	-	-	-	-		- -	-	-
Lithuania	1990 2002	3,739 3,465	68 67	32 33	-	-	-		-	- -	-	-	-
Luxembourg	1990 2002	378 447	86 92	14 8	100 100	100 100	100 100	100 100	100 100	98 98	-	-	-
Madagascar	1990 2002	11,956 16,916	24 26	76 74	40 45	8 5	82 75	30 14	27 34	1 1	12 33	25 49	8 27
Malawi	1990 2002	9,456 11,871	12 16	88 84	41 67	6 9	90 96	33 45	34 62	2 2	36 46	52 66	34 42
Malaysia	1990 2002	17,845 23,965	50 63	50 37	- 95	-	96 96	-	- 94	- 64	96	94	98 98
Maldives	1990 2002	216 309	26 28	74 72	99 84	20 22	100 99	78 76	99 78	0	- 58	100 100	- 42
Mali	1990 2002	9,046 12,623	24 32	76 68	34 48	2 10	50 76	8 27	29 35	0 1	36 45	50 59	32 38
Malta	1990 2002	360 393	88 91	12 9	100 100	100 100	100 100	100 100	100 100	96 96	-	100 100	-
Marshall Islands	1990 2002	44 52	65 66	35 34	96 85	-	95 80	-	97 95	-	75 82	88 93	51 59
Martinique	1990 2002	360 390	90 96	10 4	-	-	-	-	-	- -	-	-	-
Mauritania	1990 2002	2,030 2,807	44 60	56 40	41 56	9 22	19 63	18 29	57 45	3 11	28 42	31 64	26 9
Mauritius	1990 2002	1,057 1,210	40 43	60 57	100 100	- 78	100 100	98 74	100 100	- 82	99 99	100 100	99 99
Mayotte	1990 2002	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- -	-	-	-
Mexico	1990 2002	83,225 101,965	72 75	28 25	80 91	78 89	90 97	89 96	54 72	50 71	66 77	84 90	20 39
Micronesia (Federated States of)	1990 2002	96 108	26 29	74 71	87 94	-	93 95	-	85 94	-	30 28	53 61	21 14
Moldova, Republic of	1990 2002	4,364 4,270	47 46	53 54	- 92	- 41	97 97	- 78	- 88	9	- 68	- 86	- 52
Monaco	1990 2002	30 34	100 100	0 0	-	-	100 100	100 100	-	-	-	100 100	-
Mongolia	1990 2002	2,216 2,559	57 57	43 43	62 62	28 28	87 87	49 49	30 30	1 1	- 59	- 75	- 37
Montserrat	1990 2002	11 3	12 13	88 87	100 100	-	100 100	98 98	100 100	-	96 96	96 96	96 96
Morocco	1990 2002	24,564 30,072	48 57	52 43	75 80	41 57	94 99	75 92	58 56	9 12	57 61	87 83	28 31
Mozambique	1990 2002	13,465 18,537	21 34	79 66	- 42	- 11	- 76	- 28	- 24	2 2	- 27	- 51	14 14
Myanmar	1990 2002	40,506 48,852	25 29	75 71	48 80	3 8	73 95	11 23	40 74	1 2	21 73	39 96	15 63
Namibia	1990 2002	1,409 1,961	27 32	73 68	58 80	31 39	99 98	83 76	43 72	12 21	24 30	68 66	8 14
Nauru	1990 2002	9 13	100 100	0 0	-	-	-	-	-	-	- -	-	-
Nepal	1990 2002	18,625 24,609	9 15	91 85	69 84	6 14	94 93	42 48	67 82	3 8	12 27	62 68	7 20
Netherlands	1990 2002	14,952 16,067	60 65	40 35	100 100	98 98	100 100	100 100	99 99	95 95	100 100	100 100	100 100
Netherlands Antilles	1990 2002	188 219	68 70	32 30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New Caledonia	1990 2002	171 224	60 61	40 39	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New Zealand	1990 2002	3,360 3,846	85 86	15 14	97 -	-	100 100	100 100	82	-	-	-	88

		Don	ulatia			Improved Total		king Water Urban		rage Rural		mproved	
Countries, areas and territories	Year	Total (thousands)	ulatio Urban %		Total %	Household Connection %	Total %	Household Connection %	Total %	Household Connection %	Total %	Urban %	Rural %
Nicaragua	1990 2002	3,824 5,335	53 57	47 43	69 81	54 62	92 93	89 86	42 65	15 31	47 66	64 78	27 51
Niger	1990 2002	7,650 11,544	16 22	84 78	40 46	3 8	62 80	19 35	35 36	0	7 12	35 43	2
Nigeria	1990 2002	86,018 120,911	35 46	65 54	49 60	13 11	78 72	31 20	33 49	3	39 38	50 48	33
Niue	1990 2002	2 2	31 35	69 65	100 100	- 87	100 100	100 100	100 100	- 80	100 100	100 100	100 100
Northern Mariana Islands	1990 2002	44 76	89 94	11 6	98 98	-	98 98	93	100 97	- 35	84 94	85 94	78 96
Norway	1990 2002	4,241 4,514	72 78	28 22	100 100	100 100	100 100	100 100	100 100	100 100	-	-	-
Occupied Palestinian Territory	1990 2002	2,154 3,433	66 71	34 29	- 94	- 83	97 97	- 91	- 86	- 63	- 76	- 78	- 70
Oman	1990 2002	1,845 2,768	62 77	38 23	77 79	21 25	81 81	30 30	72 72	7	83 89	97 97	61 61
Pakistan	1990 2002	110,901 149,911	31 34	69 66	83 90	28 23	95 95	61 50	78 87	13 9	38 54	81 92	19 35
Palau	1990 2002	15 20	70 69	30 31	80 84	-	71 79	-	99 94	- 10	66	72 96	54 52
Panama	1990 2002	2,411 3,064	54 57	46 43	- 91	- 85	99 99	96 96	79	- 72	- 72	- 89	- 51
Papua New Guinea	1990 2002	4,114 5,586	13 13	87 87	39 39	11 11	88	61	32 32	4 4	45 45	67 67	41 41
Paraguay	1990 2002	4,219 5,740	49 57	51 43	62 83	30 54	80 100	59 82	46 62	2 18	58 78	71 94	46 58
Peru	1990 2002	21,753 26,767	69 74	31 26	74 81	56 72	88 87	74 84	42 66	16 40	52 62	68 72	15 33
Philippines	1990 2002	61,104 78,580	49 60	51 40	87 85	21 44	93 90	37 60	82 77	6 22	54 73	63 81	46 61
Poland	1990 2002	38,111 38,622	61 62	39 38	-	78 95	100 100	93 99	-	56 89	-	-	-
Portugal	1990 2002	9,899 10,049	47 54	53 46	-	72	-	97 97	-	50	-	-	-
Puerto Rico	1990 2002	3,528 3,859	72 96	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Qatar	1990 2002	467 601	89 92	11 8	100 100	-	100 100	100 100	100 100	-	100 100	100 100	100 100
Réunion	1990 2002	604 745	81 91	19 9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Romania	1990 2002	23,207 22,387	53 55	47 45	- 57	- 49	- 91	- 79	- 16	- 13	- 51	- 86	- 10
Russian Federation	1990 2002	148,292 144,082	73 73	27 27	94 96	77 81	97 99	87 92	86 88	49 52	87 87	93 93	70 70
Rwanda	1990 2002	6,775 8,272	5 16	95 84	58 73	1	88 92	24 34	57 69	0	37 41	49 56	36 38
Saint Kitts and Nevis	1990 2002	41 42	35 32	65 68	99 99	- 72	99 99	- 72	99 99	- 72	96 96	96 96	96 96
Saint Lucia	1990 2002	131 148	27 30	73 70	98 98	- 75	98 98	- 75	98 98	- 75	- 89	- 89	- 89
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	1990 2002	110 119	41 57	59 43	-	-	-	-	93	- 73	-	-	96 96
Samoa	1990 2002	160 176	21 22	79 78	91 88	- 57	99 91	- 74	89 88	- 52	98 100	100 100	98 100
San Marino	1990 2002	23 27	90 89	10 11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sao Tome and Principe	1990 2002	116 157	37 38	63 62	- 79	- 25	- 89	- 34	- 73	- 19	- 24	- 32	- 20
Saudi Arabia	1990 2002	16,554 23,520	78 87	22 13	90	89	97 97	97 97	63	60	-	100 100	-


						Improved	l Drin	king Water	Cove	rage	I	mproved	t
			ulatic	n		Total		Jrban		Rural	Sanita	tion Cov	/erage
Countries, areas and territories	Year	Total (thousands)	Urban %	Rural %	Total %	Household Connection %	Total %	Household Connection %	Total %	Household Connection %	Total %	Urban %	Rural %
Senegal	1990 2002	7,345 9,855	40 49	60 51	66 72	22 40	90 90	50 71	50 54	4 11	35 52	52 70	23 34
Serbia and Montenegro	1990 2002	10,156 10,535	51 52	49 48	93 93	82 82	99 99	98 98	86 86	64 64	87 87	97 97	77 77
Seychelles	1990 2002	71 80	50 50	50 50	- 87	- 87	100 100	100 100	- 75	- 75	-	-	100 100
Sierra Leone	1990 2002	4,054 4,764	30 38	70 62	- 57	- 12	- 75	- 30	- 46	1 1	- 39	- 53	30
Singapore	1990 2002	3,016 4,183	100 100	0 0	-	-	100 100	100 100	-	-	-	100 100	-
Slovakia	1990 2002	5,256 5,398	56 57	44 43	100 100	-	100 100	- 80	100 100	- -	100 100	100 100	100 100
Slovenia	1990 2002	1,918 1,986	51 51	49 49	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Solomon Islands	1990 2002	319 463	14 16	86 84	- 70	11 13	- 94	76 76	- 65	1 1	- 31	98 98	- 18
Somalia	1990 2002	7,163 9,480	29 34	71 66	- 29	1 1	32	3	- 27	0	- 25	- 47	- 14
South Africa	1990 2002	36,848 44,759	49 56	51 44	83 87	58 60	99 98	94 82	67 73	23 31	63 67	85 86	42 44
Spain	1990 2002	39,303 40,977	75 76	25 24	-	80	-	90	-	50 -	-	-	
Sri Lanka	1990 2002	16,830 18,910	21 21	79 79	68 78	11 10	91 99	37 35	62 72	4 4	70 91	89 98	64 89
Sudan	1990 2002	24,927 32,878	27 38	73 62	64 69	34 26	85 78	75 46	57 64	19 13	33 34	53 50	26 24
Suriname	1990 2002	402 432	65 75	35 25	- 92	- 80	98 98	- 91	- 73	- 48	- 93	99 99	- 76
Swaziland	1990 2002	847 1,069	23 23	77 77	- 52	- 26	- 87	- 67	42	- 13	- 52	- 78	44
Sweden	1990 2002	8,559 8,867	83 83	17 17	100 100	100 100	100 100	100 100	100 100	100 100	100 100	100 100	100 100
Switzerland	1990 2002	6,834 7,171	68 68	32 32	100 100	100 100	100 100	100 100	100 100	99 99	100 100	100 100	100 100
Syrian Arab Republic	1990 2002	12,717 17,381	49 50	51 50	79 79	-	94 94	-	64 64	- -	76 77	97 97	56 56
Tajikistan	1990 2002	5,303 6,195	32 25	68 75	- 58	40	93	- 82	- 47	- 26	- 53	- 71	- 47
Tanzania, United Republic of	1990 2002	26,068 36,276	22 34	78 66	38 73	10 16	79 92	30 44	27 62	4 2	47 46	51 54	45 41
Thailand	1990 2002	54,389 62,193	29 32	71 68	81 85	28 34	87 95	69 80	78 80	11 12	80 99	95 97	74 100
The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	1990 2002	1,909 2,046	58 59	42 41	-	-	- -	- -	- -	-	- -	-	-
Timor-Leste	1990 2002	740 739	8	92 92	- 52	9	- 73	- 26	- 51	- 8	- 33	- 65	30
Togo	1990 2002	3,455 4,801	29 35	71 65	49 51	4 4	81 80	14 12	37 36	0 0	37 34	71 71	24 15
Tokelau	1990 2002	2 2	0	100 100	-	-	-	-	96 89	0	-	-	30 74
Tonga	1990 2002	99 103	31 33	69 67	100 100	- 75	100 100	- 72	100 100	- 76	97 97	98 98	96 96
Trinidad and Tobago	1990 2002	1,215 1,298	69 75	31 25	92 91	77 77	93 92	81 80	89 88	68 67	100 100	100 100	100 100
Tunisia	1990 2002	8,207 9,728	58 63	42 37	77 82	64 70	93 94	91 93	57 60	28 30	75 80	95 90	47 62
Turkey	1990 2002	57,593 70,318	59 66	41 34	81 93	50 52	92 96	64 64	65 87	30 30	84 83	96 94	67 62
Turkmenistan	1990 2002	3,668 4,794	45 45	55 55	- 71	- 52	- 93	- 81	- 54	- 29	- 62	- 77	- 50

					Improved		king Water	Improved					
			ulatio			Total		Urban		Rural		tion Cov	
Countries, areas and territories	Year	Total (thousands)	Urban %	Rural %	Total %	Household Connection %	Total %	Household Connection %	Total %	Household Connection %	Total %	Urban %	Rural %
Turks and Caicos Islands	1990 2002	12 20	43 46	57 54	100 100	- 68	100 100	- 78	100 100	- 60	- 96	98 98	- 94
Tuvalu	1990 2002	9 10	41 54	59 46	91 93	-	92 94	-	89 92	- -	78 88	83 92	74 83
Uganda	1990 2002	17,359 25,004	11 12	89 88	44 56	3 1	79 87	24 8	40 52	0	43 41	54 53	41 39
Ukraine	1990 2002	51,891 48,902	67 67	33 33	- 98	- 78	100 100	- 93	- 94	- 49	99 99	100 100	97 97
United Arab Emirates	1990 2002	2,035 2,937	83 85	17 15	-	-	-	-	-	-	100 100	100 100	100 100
United Kingdom	1990 2002	56,761 59,068	89 89	11 11	-	99	100 100	100 100	-	92	-	-	-
United States of America	1990 2002	255,712 291,038	75 80	25 20	100 100	100 100	100 100	100 100	100 100	100 100	100 100	100 100	100 100
United States Virgin Islands	1990 2002	101 110	88 93	12 7	-	-	-	-	-	- -	-	-	-
Uruguay	1990 2002	3,106 3,391	89 92	11 8	- 98	- 91	98 98	95 94	- 93	- 56	- 94	95 95	- 85
Uzbekistan	1990 2002	20,515 25,705	40 37	60 63	89 89	54 53	97 97	85 85	84 84	33 33	58 57	73 73	48 48
Vanuatu	1990 2002	149 207	19 22	81 78	60 60	38 38	93 85	80 73	53 52	28 28	- 50	- 78	- 42
Venezuela	1990 2002	19,502 25,226	84 87	16 13	- 83	- 81	- 85	79 84	- 70	- 61	- 68	- 71	- 48
Viet Nam	1990 2002	66,074 80,278	20 25	80 75	72 73	11 14	93 93	51 51	67 67	1 1	22 41	46 84	16 26
Western Sahara	1990 2002	207 301	88 93	12 7	-	-	-	-	-	- -	-	-	-
Yemen	1990 2002	11,944 19,315	21 25	79 75	69 69	31 33	74 74	64 64	68 68	22 22	21 30	59 76	11 14
Zambia	1990 2002	8,200 10,698	39 35	61 65	50 55	22 18	86 90	51 47	27 36	2 2	41 45	64 68	26 32
Zimbabwe	1990 2002	10,467 12,835	29 34	71 66	77 83	33 35	99 100	95 91	69 74	8 5	49 57	69 69	40 51
WORLD		5,263,484 6,224,874	43 48	57 52	77 83	48 52	95 95	79 79	63 72	25 27	49 58	79 81	25 37
DEVELOPED regions	1990 2002	934,014 993,055	72 75	28 25	100 98	96 96	100	99	99	89 88	100	100	99 92
EURASIA	1990 2002	281,700 280,970	65 64	35 36	92 93	71 72	97 99	86 90	83 82	42 41	84 83	93 92	68 65
DEVELOPING regions	1990		35 42	65 58	71 79	36 42	93 92	69 71	59 70	18 21	34 49	68 73	16 31
Northern Africa	1990 2002	118,068 147,319	49 52	51 48	88 90	57 73	95 96	83 91	82 84	33 54	65 73	84 89	47 57
Sub-Saharan Africa	1990 2002	504,369 684,768	28 35	72 65	49 58	16 16	82 82	47 39	36 45	4 4	32 36	54 55	24 26
Latin America & the Caribbean	1990 2002	441,525 535,626	71 76	29 24	83 89	70 78	93 95	86 89	58 69	32 42	69 75	82 84	35 44
Eastern Asia	1990	1,226,424 1,374,838	30 40	70 60	72 78	50 61	99 93	82 91	60 68	37 40	24 45	64 69	7 30
South Asia	1990	1,174,590 1,480,287	27 30	73 70	71 84	20 24	90 94	55 53	64 80	7	20 37	54 66	7 24
South-eastern Asia	1990 2002	439,926 535,611	32 41	68 59	73 79	14 23	91 91	37 45	65 70	3 8	48 61	67 79	39 49
Western Asia	1990 2002	136,444 183,961	62	38 34	83 88	62 63	94 95	79 79	65 74	33 31	79 79	96 95	52 49
Oceania	1990 2002	6,425 8,440	23 24	77 76	51 52	21 22	92 91	69 67	39 40	6 8	58 55	83 84	50 46



Millennium Development Goals: Regional Groupings

In charting progress towards the Millennium Development Goals, the United Nations has classified the world's countries into three regions: developed regions, developing regions and Eurasia (countries in the Commonwealth of Independent States). The developing regions are further divided into the subregions shown on the map below. A complete listing of countries included in these subregions can be found at: www.wssinfo.org



WHO/UNICEF JOINT MONITORING PROGRAMME FOR WATER SUPPLY AND SANITATION

Established: In 1990, at the end of the International Drinking Water Supply and Sanitation Decade

Executing Agencies: WHO and UNICEF

Technical Advisory Group: Individual experts from academic institutions and civil society, plus representatives of organizations involved in water and sanitation and data collection, including UN-Habitat, ORC Macro, United Nations Environment Programme, the Environmental Health Project of the United States Agency for International Development, the World Bank, the Water Supply and Sanitation Collaborative Council and the Millennium Project

Funding Support: United Kingdom's Department for International Development and the Swiss Agency for Development and Cooperation

Photo credits: Cover © UNICEF/HQ97-0537/Maggie Murray-Lee; Inside Front Cover © UNICEF/HQ03-0156/Shehzad Noorani; Page 1 © UNICEF/HQ95-0079/Jonathan Shadid; Pages 2 and 3 © UNICEF/HQ99-0460/ Giacomo Pirozzi; Page 4 (from top): © UNICEF/98-0699/Alejandro Balaguer, © UNICEF/HQ93-0816/Cindy Andrew; Page 5 (from top): © UNICEF/HQ96-1167/Giacomo Pirozzi, © UNICEF/HQ95-0066/Jonathan Shadid, © UNICEF/HQ99-0812/Roger LeMoyne; Page 6 (from top): © UNICEF/HQ00-0630/ Roger LeMoyne, © UNICEF/HQ02-0580/Jim Holmes; Page 7 © UNICEF/HQ00-0048/Jim Holmes; Page 8 (from top): © Victor Mello, © UNICEF/HQ00-0566/Roger LeMoyne; Page 9 (from top): © Victor Mello, © UNICEF/HQ00-0615/Roger LeMoyne; Page 10 © UNICEF/HQ00-0631/Roger LeMoyne; Page 11 (from top): © UNICEF/HQ00-0631/Roger LeMoyne, © UNICEF/HQ94-1334/Giacomo Pirozzi; Page 12 (from top): © UNICEF/HQ04-0276/Christine Nesbitt, © UNICEF/HQ98-0928/Giacomo Pirozzi; Page 13 © UNICEF/HQ04-0276/Christine Nesbitt;

Page 14 (from top): © UNICEF/HQ04-0112/Christine Nesbitt, © UNICEF/HQ04-0114/Christine Nesbitt; Page 15 (from top): © UNICEF/HQ04-0112/Christine Nesbitt, © UNICEF/HQ93-0781/Cindy Andrew; Page 16 (from top): © UNICEF/HQ92-1309/Roger LeMoyne, © UNICEF/HQ99-0135/Giacomo Pirozzi; Page 17 (from top): © UNICEF/HQ92-1309/Roger LeMoyne, © UNICEF/HQ96-0382/Franck Charton; Page 18 (from top): © UNICEF/HQ04-0115/Christine Nesbitt, © UNICEF/HQ04-0115/Christine Nesbitt, © UNICEF/HQ02-0502/Giacomo Pirozzi; Page 20 © Basil E. Sam; Page 21 (from top): © Basil E. Sam, © UNICEF/HQ99-0643/Giacomo Pirozzi; Page 22 © UNICEF/HQ02-0352/Giacomo Pirozzi; Page 23 © UNICEF/HQ96-0312/Franck Charton; Page 32 © UNICEF/HQ00-0483/Radhika Chalasani; Back Cover © UNICEF/HQ95-0055/Jonathan Shahid

Design: Emerson, Wajdowicz Studios / NYC / www.designews.com





The world is on track to meet the drinking water target, but sub-Saharan Africa lags behind.

- Eighty-three per cent of the world's population are using improved drinking water sources, but 1.1 billion people are still without coverage.
- Progress in sub-Saharan Africa was impressive, moving from 49 per cent coverage in 1990 to 58 per cent in
- 2002. But at this rate it will not meet the MDG target by 2015.
- More than half the world's population use water piped to their homes, which frees them from the drudgery of water collection and protects their health.

Without a sharp acceleration in the rate of progress, the world will miss the sanitation target by half a billion people.

- An estimated 2.6 billion people half of the developing world lack improved sanitation.
- Despite major progress in South Asia, little more than a third of its population use improved sanitation; coverage in sub-Saharan Africa is only 36 per cent.
- Global population growth is cancelling many of the gains already made. Though more than a billion people gained improved sanitation between 1990 and 2002, the population without coverage declined by only 100 million.

From now until 2015, greater effort must be made to reach the poor and those in rural areas, whose deprivation is hidden behind national averages.

- For every person in urban areas, there are six people in rural areas without improved drinking water sources.
- An estimated 560 million people lack improved sanitation in urban areas of the developing world, compared with a staggering 2 billion in rural communities.

United Nations Children's Fund 3 UN Plaza, NY, NY 10017 USA

World Health Organization Avenue Appia 20, 1211 Geneva 27, Switzerland

\$10.00 £5.50 €8.30 ISBN: 92-415-6278-1 Sales no.: E.04.XX.8

August 2004